Montclair State University
202420 CSAM Ending 5/7/24

Course:	EAES210_01SP24: EAES210_01SP24 INTRO TO GIS&REMOTE SENSING
Instructor:	Charles Knoble *
Response Rate:	6/24 (25.00 %)

1 - Has clearly defined the concepts and skills to be obtained

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	50.00%		4.50
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

| Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.50	0.55	4.50

2 - Has clearly stated course requirements

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	83.33%		4.83
Agree	(4)	1	16.67%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.83	0.41	5.00

3 - Has met and completed objectives

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	66.67%		4.67
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.67	0.52	5.00

4 - Was well prepared and organized for each class

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	66.67%		4.67
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.67	0.52	5.00

Course:	EAES210_01SP24: EAES210_01SP24 INTRO TO GIS&REMOTE SENSING
Instructor:	Charles Knoble *
Response Rate:	6/24 (25.00 %)

5 - Presented material with clarity

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	50.00%		4.50
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.50	0.55	4.50

6 - Presented the subject matter in an interesting and meaningful way so as to motivate and stimulate student interest

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	66.67%		4.67
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.67	0.52	5.00

7 - Cared about students' success and learning

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	66.67%		4.67
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.67	0.52	5.00

8 - Was available for consultation beyond class time and office hours

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	66.67%		4.67
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.67	0.52	5.00

Course:	EAES210_01SP24: EAES210_01SP24 INTRO TO GIS&REMOTE SENSING
Instructor:	Charles Knoble *
Response Rate:	6/24 (25.00 %)

9 - Readily and thoroughly answered questions

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	66.67%		4.67
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.67	0.52	5.00

10 - Was an effective communicator in the classroom

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	66.67%		4.67
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.67	0.52	5.00

11 - Encouraged student participation

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	5	83.33%		4.83
Agree	(4)	1	16.67%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.83	0.41	5.00

12 - Graded objectively

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	50.00%		4.50
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.50	0.55	4.50

Course: EAES210_01SP24: EAES210_01SP24 INTRO TO GIS&REMOTE SENSING

Instructor: Charles Knoble *

Response Rate: 6/24 (25.00 %)

13 - Taught the course in an effective manner

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	50.00%		4.50
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.50	0.55	4.50

14 - Provided a learning experience

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	50.00%		4.50
Agree	(4)	3	50.00%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.50	0.55	4.50

15 - Were reasonable in terms of course and level

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	50.00%		4.33
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%		
Neutral	(3)	1	16.67%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.33	0.82	4.50

16 - Were graded in a reasonable amount of time

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	66.67%		4.67
Agree	(4)	2	33.33%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.67	0.52	5.00

Course: EAES210_01SP24: EAES210_01SP24 INTRO TO GIS&REMOTE SENSING

Instructor: Charles Knoble *

Response Rate: 6/24 (25.00 %)

17 - Were adequately discussed and reviewed

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	50.00%		4.17
Agree	(4)	1	16.67%		
Neutral	(3)	2	33.33%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median
6/24 (25.00%)	4.17	0.98	4.50

18 - What was your favorite part of this course and/or what did you find most valuable?

Response Rate	4/24 (16.67%)

• The time where we did class activities was a lot of fun and provided a good way to understand the material being taught. Labs also were fun in this case.

• I appreciated the opportunity to learn more about the GIS software and the labs helped a lot to grasp basic concepts. I enjoyed the exercises and demonstrations that were used in class to learn GIS concepts like joins and the ways that maps are inaccurate.

• Everything about this course was my favorite, this prof was the best, i loved every information we took and learned about sport here.

• I enjoyed CJ's enthusiasm for the subject and energy when teaching.

19 - What can the instructor do to improve the course?

Response Rate	4/24 (16.67%)

• Providing a study guide/class review day or at least a more effective way to study for the midterm besides looking over all the homework up until that point.

• In my opinion, the homework we worked on during the semester was not terribly relevant to the practical work (labs, the final project). I think that homework should be weighed less in the final grade and the project should be weighed slightly more in the final grade.

• Nothing everything is perfect

• I would have liked more opportunities to practice with the software in class, practice problems to prepare for the exams, and just overall more hands-on instruction.

20 - Do you have general comments about this course?

Response Rate	4/24 (16.67%)

• Overall, this was a wonderful class and opened up my interest in GIS programs and uses. I would highly recommend this class to those who aren't sure about what elective to take for their major.

• N/A

• No love it

• CJ was a great professor